

Bob Frank's Response to the
Sun City Anthem Residents For Sanity (SCARFS)
Political Hate Mail

Only cowards and scoundrels distribute hate mail without their names on it. The recent SCARFS community-wide mailing by “dozens of SCA friends and neighbors” is an insult to the entire SCA community, and a false representation of Bob Frank's service to our community.

Below is a partial response to the slanderous accusations in the SCARFS hate mail. The smartest thing for SCA residents to do is simply trash the document. Even better yet, if you have any information as to the individuals behind this mailing, please send that information to bobfrank@cox.net.

Allegation 1: *“For the past two years ...Bob Frank, has practiced a confrontational, obstructionist brand of politics that has created great friction among his fellow directors and discouraged residents from stepping forward as community volunteers.”*

Bob Frank's Response: Yes, I confront dishonest and dishonorable people when they do something wrong; and yes, I try to block Board actions that would hurt our community. I am the only person on the Board with the integrity to stand up to flawed policies. If this is bad, then I plead guilty.

Allegation 2: *Bob Frank entered office on a promise of giving and showing respect, but he has proved that even mild criticism will be met with bullying and threatening, intimidating responses.*

Bob Frank's Response: The criticisms referenced here by Linda Krivec and Frank Blaha were public and quite hateful. I can share with anyone interested, the nasty items they posted, and my private, respectful responses to them.

Allegation 3: *A senior Air Force officer in an era when relatively few women were in the upper military ranks, Bob Frank has been especially hostile to the two women on the current Board.*

Bob Frank's Response: I have never been, and never will be hostile to or discriminate against anyone—especially women. Hostile is what the SCARF hate mail is! I am proud of my 22 years of military service, and I deeply resent the callous attempt to denigrate it.

Allegation 4: *This is what Mr. Frank wrote... "I believe that HOA standing committees tend to evolve into social cliques that function something like high school, college and other social fraternities. Instead of being solely focused on the high-value business matters of this community, standing committees tend to be just another kind of 'club'."*

Bob Frank's Response: I am not alone in this thinking. Nearly everyone in SCA has been exposed to the controlling and petty thinking of some committees. If telling the truth is a crime, I deserve to be punished.

Allegation 5: *Frank voted "no" on issues we think he should have supported.*

Bob Frank's Response:

1. I voted against the 2009 SCA Budget because the proposed dues level of \$960 was TOO HIGH. The board could not justify the raise of dues from \$940 to \$1,100 in 2008, and I also voted no at that time. Then they had to reduce them and grant credit on the accounts. This confusing fiasco was obviously avoidable.
2. I voted against retaining the auditor selected/hired by Del Webb/Pulte for SCA during the past decade—and that was the correct position. We need fresh eyes and different expertise to help protect our homeowner interests.
3. I voted against the 3rd Rec. Ctr. sellout orchestrated by the board majority where they agreed to give away over a million dollars to the developer for no good reason.
4. I voted against proceeding with a Trumpets sole-source negotiation with just one candidate because having more competition could allow us to reduce our risks and negotiate better terms and conditions. The board's gross mismanagement of the Trumpets lease for the past 5 years has cost members close to a million dollars.

Allegation 6: *When Bob Frank and his spouse were working to get a fellow resident elected to the Board last year, they provided information on how bypass the log in rules.*

Bob Frank's Response: The fact is my wife did absolutely nothing improper. If anyone had violated anything, the Board or CAM would have certainly issued a warning or sanction. Complaints about this matter

point right to David Berman as being a key player in the SCARFS group. No one else cares about this trivial topic.

Allegation 7: *Bob Frank has frequently threatened our home values by going to outside media with allegations of irregularities in the operations of Sun City Anthem.*

Bob Frank's Response: My claims were accurate and appropriate. Cleaning up our financial management issues will greatly enhance our home values. I must have been close to the mark or they would not have over-reacted as they did. Imagine sending a political hit piece about me to all owners, at association expense, without allowing me to respond in kind? They are intensely worried about what I am saying and doing!

Allegation 8: *Don't vote for Bob Frank.*

Bob Frank's Response: Don't vote for me unless you value ethics and openness. And, be prepared to take full responsibility for your choice if I am not re-elected to continue pressing for honesty and professionalism.